

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,786	03/10/2004	Robert A. Van Tassel	ENDOV-67986	5624
60117 RATNER PRE	7590 11/26/2007 STIA		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 980			GIBSON, ROY DEAN	
VALLEY FOR	GE, PA 19482		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3739	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			.11/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	H .		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/798,786	VAN TASSEL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roy D. Gibson	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	5S
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this commu ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 A     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final.  nce except for formal ma		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 61-71 and 73 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) 67 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 61-66,68-71 and 73 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyation is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in crity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number:

10/798,786 Art Unit: 3739

#### Formal Matters

The Appeal Brief filed 8/31/2007 is acknowledged. In light of the arguments presented in the Brief, the Final Rejection is withdrawn and examination is reopened. The rejections under 37 U.S.C. 102(a) with the Trauner reference are withdrawn. However, new and modified grounds of rejection are presented below. Claims 61-71 and 73 are currently pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 70 and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The features of these claims were not originally disclosed in that the claims (which depend from claim 69) state that the external and internal light applications <u>are combined</u>.

Application/Control Number:

10/798,786 Art Unit: 3739

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 61, 62, 64-66 and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Laufer et al. 96,488,673). Laufer et al. disclose a method of applying light energy to the inner wall of a vessel via a light delivery catheter without occluding fluid flow (Figure 37 and 38 and the light having a UV wavelength of 240-350 nm) after applying a photo-activatable agent (a psoralen agent injected intravenously) to the vessel and which is necessarily taken up by the wall and the adventitial area of the vessel (as disclosed by the applicant's specification) and see col. 9, lines 44-52, col. 11, lines 8-38, col. 16, lines 30-53, col. 26, line 38-col. 27, line 27 and col. 27, line 67-col. 29, line 11).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10/798,786

Art Unit: 3739

Claims 63, 69 and 73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laufer et al. in view of Trauner et al. (5,913,884).

Laufer et al. fail to disclose local administration of the photo-activatable agent, However, Trauner et al. disclose that local and systemic administration are both effective or equivalent with a waiting period required when the administration is systemic (col. 7, lines 14-32).

As to claims 69 and 73, Laufer et al. fail to disclose irradiating the target rgion externally using an external light source. However, Trauner et al. disclose the light can be delivered via optical fibers internally or can be provided extracorporeally by transillumination (col. 7, lines 44-60). Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Laufer et al. as taught by Trauner et al., to provide the irradiation externally since this is an alternative equivalent means of applying the light energy to activate the photoactivatable agent.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 67 is allowed.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy D. Gibson whose telephone number is 571-272-4767. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Th, 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roy D. Gibson/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739

November 20, 2007